

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/918,508	KAKIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cherie M. Woodward	1647	

All Participants:

(1) Cherie M. Woodward.

(2) Tu Phan-Kerr.

Status of Application: RCE-FAOM

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8/28/07 & 10/5/07

Time: 5:30pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, first paragraph, scope of enablement and written description issues.

Claims discussed:

Claim 8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 8 was discussed regarding written description issues. No agreement was reached. Applicant proposed claim amendments (attached) which were not accepted by the Examiner for the reasons set forth in the Office Action (attached).

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)